## MINORITY SHAREHOLDER WATCH GROUP

BADAN PENGAWAS PEMEGANG SAHAM MINORITI BERHAD (Incorporated in Malaysia – Registration No.200001022382 (524989-M)

The Malaysian Reserve - Monday, April 18 2022 (A)

## MSWG CEO: What is the reason for the decision to compound in Serba Dinamik case?

The AG has the sole and unfettered discretion to charge or discontinue the charge against the accused person or company

OPINION

by DEVANESAN EVANSON

TO COMPOUND or not to compound may very well be the question when it comes to compoundable offences. The decision to compound the four executives in the Serba Dinamik Holdings Bhd case has raised a myriad of questions, thoughts and opinions.

As a general principle, crime should not pay. And in that vein, regulators often fine triple the amount of illicit gain (or loss avoided) to send home the strong message that they will not allow anyone to profit from their crime. Likewise, as an added deterrent, jail terms are also imposed to drive home this message. The message to be sent is that there should not be any arbitrage between illicit advantages and the cost of the sanction.

In the case of Serba Dinamik, the Securities Commission Malaysia (SC) has stated that the decision to compound was a result of the decision of the Public Pro-

secutor to accept the representa- explain the reasons. tion made to the Attorney Genepending in court.

The Federal Constitution (Article 145 (3)) states that the AG shall discontinue any proceedings for victed of the offence. an offence.

accused person or company.

In the interest of transparency, reasons should be given why a decision is made to compound instead of pursuing the charge.

A compound is conclusive in that it absolves those charged of any further action in relation to the charge.

The SC takes instruction from the AG Chambers before prosecuting anyone.

be obliged not to continue with a prosecution.

Here, it also needs to be explained why an earlier decision to proceed with the criminal charges was later substituted with a compound. The reason for this should also be made transparent. In the interest of transparency, the AG Chambers could lift the veil of its absolute discretion and

The criminal charges that the ral's (AG) Chambers by Serba four executives faced were under Dinamik and the individuals Section 369(a)(B) of the Capital involved regarding the charges Market and Services Act (CMSA), read together with Section 368(1) (b)(i) of the same Act.

This carries a maximum jail have the power, exercisable at his term of 10 years and a maximum discretion, to institute, conduct or fine of RM3 million if one is con-

The SC, the statutory regula-Thus, the AG has the sole and tor, imposed the maximum comunfettered discretion to charge or pound permitted. The SC has discontinue the charge against the stated that the RM3 million is the maximum amount of compound permissible under Section 369(a) (B) of the CMSA.

> In offering a compound, one must be mindful of not creating a dangerous precedent.

It has been observed that some charges of lesser degree in the past were not compounded but went on to trial with resultant jail terms. We must be mindful of the message that we are sending And to this extent, the SC may to the capital market and potential wrongdoers. Crime must not pay and must never be seen to be paying. Sanctions must act as a sufficient deterrent to potential wrongdoers.

For every wrongdoing, there must be the certainty of the visitation of a sanction.

The AG Chambers will need to sufficiently distinguish the Serba Dinamik case from other cases in

decision.

The AG Chambers may now be constrained from accepting a shareholders. representation for a compound in dence set.

when they make decisions — they have their ratio decidendi.

meaning "the reason" or "the rationale for the decision". The ratio decidendi is "the point in a case that determines the judgement" or "the principle that the case establishes".

In short, it is the reason for the

Likewise, every exercise of discretion should be backed by a reason for the decision.

What is needed is perhaps some structural reform. Absolute discretion is fast going out of fashion.

It is being replaced by accountable discretion. All discretion should be tempered with accountability — the ratio decidendi.

Every exercise of discretion should be backed by the rationale for the exercise of a particular discretion.

In the case of Serba Dinamik, many investors have suffered

future and explains its reasons losses - they will not be able clearly. And that is why there is a to recoup much of their losses, need to know the reason for the at least in the foreseeable future. It has indeed been a sad misadventure for many minority

The exercise of the discretion future cases where the charges are whether to compound or not to of lesser severity due to the prece- compound a capital market offence has far-reaching impli-The judges do it all the time cations for the capital market. It may hinder the development of a fair and orderly capital mar-Ratio decidendi is a Latin phrase ket that prides itself on investor protection.

We are not alone. Our capital markets must be attractive enough for foreign investments too. There must be certainty on how we deal with things. Perceptions are just as important as reality.

In the words of Lord Chief Justice Hewart, "justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done".

It is all about transparency the question to be answered is "Why compound?". What is the ratio decidendi?

Devanesan Evanson is the CEO of Minority Shareholders Watch Group

The views expressed are of the writer and do not necessarily reflect the stand of the newspaper's owners and editorial board